

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,285	06/20/2003	James A. Haberstroh	14184 (6365/89767)	6377	
7	590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Mitchell J. W	•• - •		GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS		
Welsh & Katz, 22nd Floor	Ltd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
120 S. Riverside Drive			3721		
Chicago, IL 6	50606		DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>	
	10/601,285	HABERSTROH ET	AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721	/	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	Iress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty striod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONtatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. I HS from the mailing date of this cor ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte		merits is	
Disposition of Claims		G#		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-15,18 and 21 is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,9,10,16,17,19 and 20 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,9 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration. ved. ejected.	•		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CF		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-	.152)	

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the use of photographs as figures 4 and 5 is deemed unnecessary, and the dark quality of the photographs makes it questionable as to their eventual reproduction quality in a patent. Applicant is respectfully requested to resubmit figures 4 and 5 in the form of black ink patent-quality illustrations. Corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: latching assembly 60, finger 64 and opening 74 (see page 6, paragraphs 34 and 35). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/601,285

Art Unit: 3721

even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 2, 9 and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Each of the claims 2, 9 and 16 recites a "latch for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position", whereas the independent claims 1, 8 and 15 already recite "a latching element for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position". Each of the claims 2, 9 and 16 is deemed not to further limit the independent claims 1, 8 and 15, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,285

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 16, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites a "latch for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position" which makes the scope of the claim ambiguous because claim 1 recites a "latching element for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position", and it is unclear if the latch and the latching element are the same or a different element.

Claim 9 recites a "latch for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position" which makes the scope of the claim ambiguous because claim 8 recites a "latching element for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position", and it is unclear if the latch and the latching element are the same or a different element.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,285

Art Unit: 3721

Claim 10, line 2, "the latch" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 8; it is suggested that claim 10 be amended to depend from claim 9 as opposed to claim 8.

Claim 16 recites a "latch for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position" which makes the scope of the claim ambiguous because claim 15 recites a "latching element for securing the upper guide portion in the closed position", and it is unclear if the latch and the latching element are the same or a different element.

Claim 19 recites "the one of the feed wheels" in lines 1 and 2; the recitation lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 15. It is suggested that claim 19 be amended to depend from claim 18 as opposed to claim 15.

These and any other informalities should be corrected so that the claims may particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1, 4-8, 11-15, 18 and 21 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 16, 17, 19 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed on the attached form (PTO-892) are cited to show strapping machines and their chutes. All are cited as being of interest and to show the state of the prior art.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Stephen**F. Gerrity whose telephone number is (703) 308-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 5:30 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2187, may be contacted.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Stephen F. Gerrity

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

14 June 2004